BEYOND DISPUTE DEBATES THAT SHAPE JEWISH LIFE

UNIT 1

DOES DISPUTE UNITE US OR DIVIDE US? THE COMPLEX LEGACY OF DEBATE IN JEWISH TRADITION

I. Two Views of Torah Study

- 1. Sifrei Deuteronomy, Ha'azinu 321
- 2. Arukh Hashulhan, Hoshen Mishpat, preface

II. Arguing for the Sake of Heaven

- 3. Pirkei Avot 5:17
- 4. Rabbi Obadia of Bartinoro's commentary to Pirkei Avot 5:17 (section 1)
- 5. Rabbi Jonah ben Abraham Gerondi ("Rabbeinu Yonah") on Pirkei Avot 5:17

III. The Model of Hillel and Shammai

- 6. Jerusalem Talmud, Shabbat 1:4
- 7. Babylonian Talmud, Yevamot 14b
- 8. Rashi's Commentary on BT Yevamot 13b
- 9. Babylonian Talmud, Eruvin 13b
- 10. Babylonian Talmud, Eruvin 13b (continued)

IV. Ancient and Modern Perspectives on Dispute

- 11.Tosefta Hagigah 2:4
- 12. Maimonides, Commentary on the Mishnah, Introduction to Zeraim
- 13. Babylonian Talmud, Bava Metzia 84a
- 14. Rashi's Commentary on BT Hagigah 3b
- 15. Rabbi Abraham Isaac Kook, Olat Re'iya



I. Two Views of Torah Study

1. Sifrei Deuteronomy, Ha'azinu 321

Halakhic Midrash on Deuteronomy (Land of Israel, 3rd-4th century CE).

And Scripture states, "All of them valiant, wagers of war" (II Kings 24:16).¹ Now what valor can people who are going into exile display? And what war can people wage when they are fettered in shackles and bound by chains? Rather, "valiant" refers to those who are valiant in Torah study, as in the verse, "Bless the Lord, O you His angels, valiant and mighty, who do His word..." (Psalm 103:20); and "wagers of war" refers to those who are engaged in dialogue and debate [literally "give and take"] in the war of Torah, as it is said, "Wherefore it is said in the book of the Wars of the Lord" (Numbers 21:14). וְכֵן הוּא אוֹמֵר ״הַפּֿל גָּבּוֹרִים עוֹשֵׂי מִלְחָמָה״ (מ״ב כד טז). וְכִי מַה גְבוּרָה עוֹשִׁים בְּנֵי אָדָם הַהוֹלְכִים בַּגוֹלָה? וּמַה מִלְחָמָה עוֹשִׁים בְּנֵי אָדָם זְקוּקִים גְּבּוֹרִים – אֵלוּ גִבּוֹרֵי תוֹרָה, כְּעָנְיָן גָּבּוֹרִים – אֵלוּ גִבּוֹרֵי תוֹרָה, כְּעָנְיָן עוֹשֵׁי דְבָרוֹ״ (תהלים קג כ). עוֹשֵׁי עוֹשֵׁי דְבָרוֹ״ (תהלים קג כ). עוֹשֵׁי מִלְחָמָה – שֶׁהָיוּ נוֹשְׂאִים וְנוֹתְנִים בְּמַלְחַמְתָּה שֶׁל תּוֹרָה, שֶׁנֶּאֱמַר ״עַל בֵּן גַּאַמֵר בְּסַפֶּר מִלְחָמוֹת ה״׳ (במדבר כא יד).

2. Arukh Hashulhan, Hoshen Mishpat, preface

Yehiel Mikhel Epstein was known as the Arukh Hashulhan after his most famous work, a halakhic digest following the order of the Shulhan Arukh (Belorussia, 1829–1908).

Truly, for one who understands a matter in all its richness, all the controversies among the tanna'im, amora'im, the geonim,² and the decisors of Jewish law are the words of the living God, and all are grounded in the law. Indeed, this is the glory of our pure and holy Torah, the whole of which is called a song. And the glory of the song is that the individual voices differ from one another other; this is the essence of its delight. And one who explores the sea of Talmud will experience the diverse delights of all these distinct voices. ּוְכָל מַחְלוֹקֶת הַתַּנָּאִים וְהָאָמוֹרָאִים וְהַגְּאוֹנִים וְהַפּוֹסְקִים, בָּאֱמֶת לַמֵּבִין דָּבָר לְאָשׁרוֹ, דְּבְרֵי אֱ-לֹהִים חַיִּים הֵמָּה, וּלְכוּלָם יֵשׁ פָּנִים בַּהַלָכָה. וְאַדְרַבָּא, זוֹהִי תִפְאֶרֶת תּוֹרָתֵנוּ הַקְּדוֹשָׁה וְהַשְׁהוֹרָה. וְכָל הַתּוֹרָה כוּלָה נִקְרֵאת שִׁירָה, וְכָל הַתּוֹרָה כוּלָה נִקְרֵאת שִׁירָה, וְמָשׁוּנִים זֶה מִזֶּה, וְזֶהוּ עִיקָר הַנְּעִימוֹת. וּמִי שֶׁמְשׁוֹטֵט בְּיֵם הַתַּלְמוּד יִרְאֶה וְמַי שֶׁמְשׁוֹטֵט בְּיֵם הַתַּלְמוּד יִרְאֶה הַמְשׁוּנוֹת זֶה מָזֶה.





¹ The passage from II Kings references the exile of King Jehoiachin and seven thousand of his men, who are referred to as "valiant, wagers of war."

² The tanna'im (lit. "repeaters" i.e. of orally transmitted teachings) were responsible for the traditions included in the Mishnah and other early rabbinic literature. The amora'im (lit. "expounders") were the rabbinic sages living from the mid 3rd to the 6th centuries in both the Land of Israel and Babylonia. They appear throughout the Talmud. The Ge'onim (lit. "geniuses") were the rabbis of the generation following the close of the Talmud.

3. Pirkei Avot 5:17

A collection of ethical maxims found in the Mishnah, the written compilation of orally transmitted teachings covering all aspects of Jewish law. (Land of Israel, c. 200 CE).

Every dispute that is for the sake of heaven is destined to endure. But if it is not for the sake of heaven, it is not destined to endure. What is [an example of] a dispute for the sake of heaven? The dispute of Hillel and Shammai.³ What is [an example of] a dispute not for the sake of heaven? The dispute of Korah and all his congregation.⁴ כָּל מַחֲלֹקָת שָׁהִיא לְשֵׁם שָׁמַיִם, סוֹפָהּ לְהִתְקַיֵּם. וְשָׁאֵינָהּ לְשֵׁם שַׁמַיִם, אֵין סוֹפָהּ לְהִתְקַיֵּם. אֵיזוֹ הִיא מַחֲלֹקָת שָׁהִיא לְשֵׁם שָׁמַיִם, זוֹ מַחֲלֹקֶת הָלֵל וְשַׁמַּאי. וְשָׁאֵינָהּ לְשֵׁם שָׁמַיִם, זוֹ מַחֲלֹקֶת קֹרַח וְכָל עֲדָתוֹ.

4. Rabbi Obadia of Bartinoro ("the Bartenura") on Pirkei Avot 5:17 (section 1).

Fifteenth century Italian rabbi best known for his commentary on the Mishnah, also known as the Bartenura (1445–1515).

"Every dispute that is for the sake of heaven is destined to endure" And I heard an explanation of the word *sofah* ["its end," i.e., the destiny of the dispute]: "its purpose and desired outcome." The dispute that is for the sake of heaven, the purpose and aim of that dispute is to arrive at the truth, and this endures, as they said "from a disagreement the truth will be revealed," as was revealed in the disputes between Hillel and Shammai-that the law is in accordance with the position of the House of Hillel. And a controversy which is not for the sake of Heaven, its desired outcome is the attainment of power and the love of victory, and this end will not endure, as we find in the dispute of Korah and his band, whose aim and end-goal was the attainment of honor and power-and their end was the opposite.

כַּל מַחַלקָת שָׁהִיא לְשָׁם שַׁמַיִם, סוֹפָה

לְהָתְקַיֵּםִ... וֹאֲנִי שָׁמֵעְתִּי פֵּירוּשׁ סוֹפָהּ: תַּכְלִיתָהּ הַמְבוּקָשׁ מֵעְנְיָנָהּ. וְהַמַּחֲלֹקֶת שֶׁהִיא לְשֵׁם שָׁמַיִם, הַתַּכְלִית וְהַסּוֹף הַמְבוּקָשׁ מֵאוֹתָהּ מַחֲלֹקֶת לְהַשִּׁיג הָאֱמֶת, וְזֶה מִתְקַיֵּם, כְּמוֹ שֶׁאָמְרוּ מִתּוֹדְ הַוִיכּוּחַ יִתְבָּרֵר כְּמוֹ שֶׁאָמְרוּ מִתּוֹדְ הַוִיכּוּחַ יִתְבָּרֵר וְשַׁמַּאי, שְׁהָלָכָה כְּבֵית הִלֵּל. וּמַחֲלֹקֶת הָיא בַּקָשַׁת הַשְׁרָרָה וְאַהֲבַת הַנִּיצוּחַ, הִיא בַּקָשַׁת הַשְׂרָרָה וְאַהֲבַת הַנִּיצוּחַ, וְזֶה הַסּוֹף אֵינוֹ מִתְקַיֵם, כְּמוֹ שֶׁמָּצִינוּ בְּזֶנָתָם הָיְתָה בַּקָשַׁת הַכָּבוֹד וְהַשְׁרָרָה, וְזֶהוּ לְהֵיפָּךָ.

³ Hillel and Shammai were Jewish scholars of the first century BCE who frequently disagreed. Their teachings (and those of their disciples) constitute some of the earliest material in the Mishnah.





⁴ In Numbers 16, Korah challenges Moses' leadership. He and his followers perish when the earth opens to swallow them.

5. Rabbi Jonah ben Abraham Gerondi ("Rabbeinu Yonah") on Pirkei Avot 5:17

Catalan rabbi and commentator, best known for his ethical work Gates of Repentance. (c 1200– c 1264).

"Every dispute, etc." This is to say, about that which it said, "Every dispute that is for the sake of heaven is destined to endure"—the meaning is that they will endure in their dispute forever. Today they will argue about one thing and tomorrow about another; and dispute will endure and continue between them all the days of their lives. And not only this, but also length of days and years of life will be added to them. כָּל מַחְלוֹאֶת וכו.' לוֹמַר כִּי מָה שֶׁאָמַר "כָּל מַחֲלֹאֶת שָׁהִיא לְשֵׁם שְׁמַיִם, סוֹפָה לְהִתְקַיֵּם" הַכַּוָנָה — שֶׁלְעוֹלָם יִתְקַיִימוּ בְּמַחֲלֹאֶת, וְהַיּוֹם יַחֲלוֹקוּ בְּדָבָר אֶחָד לְמָחָר בְּדָבָר אַחֵר, וּמַחֲלֹאֶת יִהְיֶה קַיָּם וְנִמְשַׁך בֵּינֵיהֶם כָּל יְמֵי חַיֵּיהֶם. וְלֹא עוֹד, אֶלָּא שָׁאוֹרֶך יָמִים וּשְׁנוֹת חַיִּים יוֹסִיפוּ לָהֵם.

III. The Model of Hillel and Shammai

6. Jerusalem Talmud, Shabbat 1:4

The central body of rabbinic law, dialectic, and lore, comprising the Mishnah and the Gemara (the latter being an exposition and elaboration of the former in Hebrew and Aramaic). Two separate Talmudic compilations exist: the Babylonian Talmud (c. 500 CE) and the Jerusalem Talmud (also known as the Talmud of the Land of Israel, c. 400 CE).

Rabbi Yehoshua Onaya taught: The disciples of the House of Shammai took positions down below and killed disciples of the House of Hillel [before they could ascend upstairs]. It has been taught: Six of them ascended, and the rest of them took positions against them with swords and spears. תְּנָא ר׳ יְהוֹשֻׁעַ אוֹנַיָיא: תַּלְמִידֵי בֵּית שַׁמַּאי עָמְדוּ לָהֶן מִלְמַטָה וְהָיוּ הוֹרְגִין בְּתַלְמִידֵי בֵית הַלֵּל. תְּנִי: שָׁשָׁה מֵהֶן עָלוּ וִהַשְׁאָר עָמְדוּ עֲלֵיהֶן בַּחֲרָבוֹת וּברמחים.

7. Babylonian Talmud, Yevamot 14b

Come and hear: Even though the House of Shammai and the House of Hillel disagreed regarding [the following cases of marital law:] rival wives, sisters, an outdated bill of divorce, a woman whose marital status is uncertain, one who divorces his wife and later she lodged with him at an inn, [betrothal] by money or with the equivalent value of money, and by a *perutah* or with the equivalent value of a *perutah*⁵—Beit ת״ש: אַף עַל פִּי שֶׁנֶּחְלְקוּ בֵּית שַׁמַּאי וּבֵית הִלֵּל בְּצָרוֹת, וּבְאַחָיוֹת, בְּגֵט יָשָׁן, וּבְסָמֵק אֵשֶׁת אִישׁ, וּבִמְגָרֵשׁ אֶת אִשְׁתּוֹ וְלָנָה עִמּוֹ בְּפוּנְדֶק, בְּכֶסֶף





⁵ The following cases are referenced here: (1) **Rival wives, sisters:** a case where there are four brothers, two of whom were married to two sisters, and those married to the sisters died. What is their status if the surviving brothers

Shammai did not refrain from marrying women from Beit Hillel, nor did Beit Hillel [refrain from marrying women] from Beit Shammai. This teaches that they practiced affection and camaraderie between them, to fulfill that which is stated: "Love truth and peace" (Zechariah 8:19). Rabbi Shimon says: They did refrain in the certain cases [i.e., where according to one side's opinion a person's status was definitely problematic], but they did not refrain in the uncertain cases [i.e., where according to one side's opinion a person's status was only potentially problematic].

8. Rashi's Commentary on Babylonian Talmud, Yevamot 13b

Acronym for "Rabbi Solomon (ben) Isaac"; Bible and Talmud commentator (France, 1040-1105).

"They did not refrain, etc."—Beit Hillel did not refrain from marrying women from Beit Shammai, for they [Beit Shammai] would notify them which women were children of rival wives [in *yibbum* relationships]⁶, and they [Beit Hillel] would not marry them.

9. Babylonian Talmud, Eruvin 13b

Rabbi Abba said in the name of Shmuel: For three years Beit Shammai and Beit Hillel disagreed. These said: "The halakhah is in accordance with our opinion," and these said: "The halakhah is in accordance with our opinion." A divine voice emerged and proclaimed: "Both these and those are the words of the living God; but the halakhah is in accordance with the opinion of Beit Hillel."

אָמַר רַבִּי אַבָּא אָמַר שְׁמוּאֵל: שָׁלוֹשׁ שָׁנִים נֶחְלְקוּ בֵּית שַׁמַּאי וּבֵית הִלֵּל. הַלָּלוּ אוֹמְרִים ״הֲלָכָה כְּמוֹתֵנוּ״ וְהַלָּלוּ אוֹמְרִים ״הֲלָכָה כְּמוֹתֵנוּ״. יְצְאָה בַּת קוֹל וְאָמְרָה: ״אֵלוּ וָאֵלוּ דְבְרֵי אֱ-לֹהִים חַיִּים הֵן, וַהֲלָכָה כָּבִית הּלֹל״.

לא נמנעו כו' - לא נמנעו בית

הלל מלישא נשים מבית

ופורשים.

שַמַאי, לַפִי שֵׁהֵיוּ מוֹדִיעִים

לַהֶם אוֹתֵן הַבַּאוֹת מָן הַצַּרוֹת



וּבְשָׁוֶה כָּסֶף, בִּפְרוּטָה וּבְשָׁוֶה פְּרוּטָה – לֹא נִמְנְעוּ בֵּית שַׁמַּא מִלִּישָׂא נָשִׁים מִבֵּית הִלֵּל וְלֹא בֵית הִלֵּל מִבֵּית שַׁמַּאי, לְלַמְדָדְ שֶׁחִיבָּה וְרֵיעוּת נוֹהַגִּים זֶה בָּזֶה, לְקַיֵּים מַה שֶׁנֶּאֱמֵר: הָאֱמֶת וְהַשָּׁלוֹם אֶהָבוּ (זכריה ח, יט). ר״ש אוֹמַר: נִמְנְעוּ הֵן מַן הַוַדַּאי וְלֹא נִמְנָעוּ מַן הַסַּפֵּק.

perform *yibbum* (levirate marriage), which is contrary to the law? (2)**An outdated bill of divorce:** when a bill of divorce has been written but not delivered and a couple continues to live together, would the bill still be valid at a later time? (3) **A woman whose marital status is uncertain:** when can a minor who was married, and not merely betrothed, annul her marriage? (4) **One who divorces his wife, etc.:** is lodging together at an inn sufficient grounds to assume that a couple has remarried? (5)**[Betrothal]by money, etc.:** what is the minimum amount of money necessary to effect betrothal?

⁶ *Yibbum* refers to levirate marriage, where a man whose brother has died without children is obligated to marry his brother's widow. Hillel and Shammai disagreed on whether *yibbum* was required if the wife of the deceased brother was the sister of the wife of the living brother (i.e., his sister-in-law). This was not insignificant! While Beit Shammai allows a man to marry his sister-in-law, Beit Hillel would deem the child of such a union a *mamzer*, forbidden to marry all but other *mamzerim* or converts.

10. Babylonian Talmud, Eruvin 13b (continued)

Since both these and those are the words of the living God, why were Beit Hillel privileged to have the halakhah established in accordance with their opinion? The reason is that they [i.e., Beit Hillel] were agreeable and forbearing, showing restraint when affronted, and they would teach both their own statements and the statements of Beit Shammai. Moreover, [when they cited a dispute,] they would cite the statements of Beit Shammai before their own.

ּוְכִי מֵאַחַר שָׁאֵלּוּ וָאֵלּוּ דְבְרֵי אֶ-לֹהִים חַיִּים, מִפְּנֵי מָה זָכוּ בֵּית הִלֵּל לְקְבּוֹעַ הֲלָכָה כְּמוֹתָן? מִפְּנֵי שָׁנּוֹחִין וַעְלוּבִין הָיוּ, וְשׁוֹנִין דְּבְרֵיהֶן וְדְבְרֵי בֵית שַׁמֵּאי, וְלֹא עוֹד אֶלָּא שֶׁמַקְדִימִין דְבְרֵי בֵית שַׁמֵּאי לִדְבָרֵיהֵן.

IV. Ancient and Modern Perspectives on Dispute

11. Tosefta Hagigah 2:4

A compilation of legal rulings by rabbis of the Mishnaic period that were not included in the Mishnah, or are parallel to statements in the Mishnah; composed c. 300 CE

Rabbi Yose said: Originally there were no arguments in Israel. Rather, a 71-member court sat in the chamber of hewn stone [in the Temple] and other courts of 23 existed in the cities of the Land of Israel. And two courts of 3 apiece were in Jerusalem, one on the Temple Mount and one in Havil. When a court was needed, one would go to the court in one's own city. If there was no court [in one's city], one would go to the court near one's city. If [the court] had heard [an oral tradition that would enable them to rule on the matter], they would tell him; if not, he and their most distinguished member would go to the court on the Temple Mount. If they had heard [a tradition on the matter] they would tell him; if not, he and their most distinguished member would go to the court in Hayil. If they had heard [a tradition on the matter], they would tell him; if not, they would all come to the court in the chamber of hewn stone....If they had heard [a tradition on the matter], they would tell them, and if not, they would establish a quorum and take a roll. If the majority said "impure," it is impure. If the majority said "pure," it is pure. From there the halakhah spread widely in Israel. When students of Shammai and Hillel who

אַמַר רַבִּי יוֹסֵי: בַּתָּחִילַה לא הַיִתָה מחלוקת בישראל. אלא בית דין של שָׁבְעִים וָאָחַד [הַיַה] בְּלְשׁכַּת הַגַּזִית [וּשָאר] בתי דִינִים שַל עשרים ושלושה הַיו בְּעַיירוֹת אָרֵץ יִשְׁרָאָל, וּשְׁנֵי בַתֵּי [הֵיוּ בְּעַיירוֹת אָרֵץ יִשְׁרָאָל, ו דינים של שלושה היו בירושלים אחד בְּהַר הַבַּיִת וָאָחֵד בְּחַיָל]. נִצְרֵךְ אָחֵד מַהָם, הוֹלָךָ אָצֵל בֵּית דִין שָׁבָּעִירוֹ. אין בֵּית דִין, הוֹלֵךְ אָצֵל בֵּית דִין הַסַמוּדְ לעירו. [אם] שמעו אמרו להם, אם לאו הוא ומופלא שבהן באין לבית דין שַׁבְּהֵר הַבַּיִת. [אָם] שַׁמְעוּ אַמְרוּ לַהֵם, ואם לאו הוא ומופלא שבהן באין לְבֵית דִין [שַבְּחַיָל. אָם שַמְעוּ אַמְרוּ לַהֶם, אָם לַאו אֵלוּ וַאֵלוּ בַּאָין לְבֵית דִּין שַׁבְּלְשׁכַּת הַגַּזִית.... נִשָּׁאַלָה הַלַכָה] אָם שַמעו אַמרו לַהֶם, אם לַאו עומדין בְּמִנְיֵן. אֶם רַבּוּ הַמְטַמָּאָין טִימָאוּ, אָם רַבּוּ הַמְטַהַרִין טִיהֵרוּ. מִשָּׁם הַלַכָה יוֹצֵא רווחת בִּישָׁרָאָל. משָׁרָבּוּ תַלְמִידֵי שַׁמָאי והלל שלא שמשו כל צרכן, [הרבו]





did not properly apprentice became great in number, dispute increased in Israel, and it became as though there were two Torahs.

12. Maimonides, Commentary on the Mishnah, Introduction to Zeraim

Also known as Rambam (acronym for "Rabbi Moses ben Maimon"); halakhic codifier (Mishneh Torah), philosopher (Guide of the Perplexed), and communal leader (Spain and Egypt, 1135– 1204).

Whenever two people are equal in their wisdom, knowledge, and understanding of the established rules of inference [a finite set of methods of textual interpretation officially sanctioned by the Rabbis], a dispute will not arise between them when they apply those rules. If it does, it will be minor—just as there was no dispute between Shammai and Hillel except regarding specific, isolated halakhot. This was because their views were close to one another in how they applied logical reasoning to the text, and both made their inferences by correctly applying the same hermeneutical rules. But when their disciples became less diligent in their learning, and their powers of reasoning were weak in comparison to the reasoning of Shammai and Hillel, disputes arose among them...as each one issued legal rulings according to one's own wisdom and one's own limited understanding of the rules of inference. Yet they should not be blamed for this, for we cannot compel two scholars involved in an argument to do so with the wisdom of Joshua and Pinehas.7

שַכּל ב׳ אַנשִים בָּהִיוֹתם שוים בַשָּׁכָל ובעיון ובידיעת העיקרים שיוציאו מהם הסברות, לא תפול ביניהם מַחָלוֹקָת בְּסָבַרַתֵם בְּשוּם פַּנִים, וָאָם נפלה תהיה מעוטא. כמו שלא נמצא שַנַּחַלְקוּ שַמאי וְהַלָּל אֵלָא בהַלכוֹת יְחִידוֹת. וְזָה מִפְּנֵי שָׁדֵעוֹת שְׁנֵיהֵם הֵיוּ קרובות זה לזה בכל מה שיוציאו בְּדָרֵךְ סְבַרָא, וְהַעִיקַרִים כְּמוֹ כֵּן הנתונים לזה כמו העיקרים הנתונים לַזָה. אָבַל כַּאָשֶׁר רַפְתָה שָׁקֵידַת הַתַּלְמִידִים עַל הַחַכְמַה וְנֵחִלְשָׁה סְבַרַתֵם נֵגֶד סְבַרַת הָלֵל וְשָׁמֵאי וּבַם נַפְלַה מַחָלוֹקֵת בֵּינֵיהֵם....כַּל אֵחַד ואחד מהם היתה לפי שכלו ומה שיש בִּיַדוֹ מִן הַעִיקַרִים. וְאֵין לְהַאֲשִׁימַם בְּכַל זאת. שֵׁלֹא נַכְרִיחַ אַנַחָנוּ לְשָׁנֵי חַכַמִים מִתוַכּּחִים בִּעִיוּן לְהָתוַכֵּחַ כשכל יהושע ופנחס.

⁷ Joshua succeeded Moses as leader of the Israelites and Pinehas, grandson of Aaron, became the high priest. Both were understood to be intellectually gifted.





מַחְלוֹקֶת בְּיִשְׂרָאֵל [וְנַעֲשׂוּ כִשְׁתֵּי תוֹרוֹת].

13. Babylonian Talmud, Bava Metzia 84a

Reish Lakish died, and Rabbi Yohanan was plunged into deep grief. The Rabbis said, "Who shall go to ease his mind? Let Rabbi Elazar ben Pedat go, for he is sharp in his learning." So he went and sat before him; and in response to every teaching that Rabbi Yohanan said, he said: "There is a baraita that supports you!"

"Are you like the son of Lakisha?" he said. "For each teaching that I said, the son of Lakisha would raise twenty-four objections against me, to which I gave twenty-four answers, and from this the learning expanded. And you say, 'There is a baraita that supports you'—do I not already know that what I said is right?!"

So he went on tearing his garments and weeping, "Where are you, son of Lakisha? Where are you, son of Lakisha?" and he cried thus until he lost his mind. The Rabbis prayed for mercy upon him, and he died. נַח נַפְשֵׁיהּ דְּרַבִּי שָׁמְעוֹן בֶּן לָקִישׁ, וַהְוָה קָא מִצְטַעֵר רַבִּי יוֹחָנָן בְּתְרֵיהּ טוּבָא. אֲמָרוּ רַבָּנָן: מָאן לֵיזֵיל לִיתְבֵיהּ לְדַעֲתֵיהּ? גֵיזִיל רַבִּי אֶלְעָזָר בֶּן פְּדָת, דִּמְחַדְדִין שְׁמַעְתְּתֵיהּ. אֲזַל יָתֵיב קַמֵּיהּ. כָּל מִילְתָא דַהְוָה אֲמַר רַבִּי יוֹחָנָן אֲמַר לֵיהּ: תַּנְיָא דְמַסֵיִיעֵא לַדְ.

אֲמַר: אַתּ כְּבַר לָקִישָׁא? בַּר לָקִישָׁא, כִּי הַזָה אָמֵינָא מִילְתָא, הַזָה מַקְשִׁי לִי עֶשְׂרִין וְאַרְבַּע קוּשְׁיָיתָא, וּמְפַרְקִינָא לֵיהּ עֶשְׂרִין וְאַרְבַּעָה פְּרוֹמֵי, וּמִמֵילָא רְזָוחָא שְׁמַעָתָא. וְאַתְ אָמְרַת תַּנְיָא דִמְסַיְיעָא לָדָ, אַטוּ לָא יְדַעְנָא דְשַׁפִּיר קָאָמֵינָא?!

ְהָוָה קָא אָזֵיל וְקָרַע מָאנֵיהּ, וְקָא בָּכִי וְאָמַר: הֵיכָא אַתְּ בַּר לָקִישָׁא, הֵיכָא אַתְּ בַּר לָקִישָׁא? וַהְוָה קָא צָוַח עַד דְּשָׁף דַּעֲתֵיהּ [מִינֵּיה]. בָּעוּ רַבָּנָן רַחֲמֵי עֲלֵיהּ וְנַח נַפְשֵׁיהּ.

14. Rashi's Commentary on Babylonian Talmud, Hagigah 3b

One does not find either party in the dispute bringing proof from the Torah of another god, but only from the Torah of our God. אֵין לְדָּ מִבְּנֵי הַמַּחְלוֹקֶת מֵבִיא רְאָיָה מִתּוֹרַת אֱלוֹהַ אַחֵר, אֶלָא מִתּוֹרַת אֵלֹהֵינוּ.

15. Rabbi Abraham Isaac Kook, Olat Re'iyah⁸

First Ashkenazi chief rabbi in pre-state Israel; considered one of the fathers of religious Zionism (Israel, 1865–1935).

For the building is constructed from various parts, and the truth of the light of the world will be built from various dimensions, from various approaches, for these and those are the words of the living God....It is precisely the multiplicity of opinions which derive from variegated souls and כִּי הַבִּנְיָן יִבָּנֶה מֵחְלָקִים שוֹנִים, וְהָאֱמֶת שֶׁל אוֹר הָעוֹלָם תִּבָּנֶה מִצְדָדִים שוֹנִים וּמִישָׁטוֹת שוֹנוֹת, שֶׁאֵלוּ וָאֵלוּ דְבְרֵי אֶלהִים חַיִּים...וְרִבּוּי הַדֵּעוֹת שֶׁבָּא עַל יְדֵי הְשִׁתַּנּת הַנְפָשוֹת וְהַחַנּוּכִים, דַּוְקָא

⁸ In his Seder tefilah: im perush Olat Re'iyah, Vol. 1 (Jerusalem, 1939), 330.



backgrounds that enriches wisdom and brings about its enlargement. In the end, all matters will be properly understood, and it will be recognized that it was impossible for the structure of peace to be built without those orientations which appeared to be in conflict. הוּא הוּא הַפַּעֲשִׁיר אֶת הַחָכְמָה וְהַגּוֹרֵם הַרְחָבָתָהּ, שֶׁלַּסוֹף יוּבְנוּ כָּל הַדְּבָרִים כָּרְחָנִיתָה, שָׁלַסוֹף יוּבְנוּ כָּל הַדְּבָרִים כְּהָאוּי וְיוּכַּר שָׁאִי אֶפְשָׁר הָיָה לְבִנְיַן הַשַּׁלוֹם שֶׁיִבָּנֶה כ״א ע״י כָּל אוֹתָן הַהַשְׁפָּעוֹת הַנִּרְאוֹת כִּמְנַצְחוֹת זֶה אֶת זֶה.





SUGGESTIONS FOR FURTHER STUDY

- 1. Ben-Menahem, Hanina et al. *Controversy and Dialogue in the Jewish Tradition: A Reader*. New York: Routledge, 2005.
- 2. Hartman, Donniel. *The Boundaries of Judaism*. New York: Continuum, 2007.
- 3. Sagi, Avi. *The Open Canon: On the Meaning of Halakhic Discourse*. New York: Continuum, 2007.
- 4. Schwartz, Barry L. *Great Debates in Judaism: Timeless Controversies from Abraham to Herzl*. Philadelphia: Jewish Publication Society and Lincoln, NE: University of Nebraska Press, 2012.
- 5. Sokol, Moshe. "Theoretical Grounds for Tolerance in the Jewish Tradition." In Moshe Sokol, ed., *Tolerance, Dissent, and Democracy: Philosophical, Historical, and Halakhic Perspectives*. Northvale, NJ: Jason Aronson, 2002.

